Internet Appendix A47: Education for Sustainability A47.1 Illustrative Pitch Template Example

Pitcher's Name	Siti Nur Diyana Mahmud	FoR category	Education	Date Completed	26 July 2015	
(A) Working Title	Systems Thinking Approach to Education for Sustainabi					
(B) Basic	How might a whole systems thinking view assist understanding of Education for Sustainability at Malaysia university?					
Research	Systems thinking is the process of understanding how those things which may be regarded as systems influence one another within a complete entity, or larger system. In an organization like UKM,					
Question	systems consist of people, structures, and processes that work together to make an organization "healthy" or "unhealthy".					
(C) Key paper(s)	Espinosa, A., & Walker, J. (2011). A complexity approach to sustainability. London, UK: Imperial College Press. Jickling, B., & Wals, A. E. J. (2012). Debating education for sustainable development 20 Years after Rio: A conversation between Bob Jickling and Arjen Wals. Journal of Education for Sustainable					
			Rio: A conversation betv	veen Bob Jickling and Arjen Wals.	Journal of Education for Sustainable	
	Development, 6(1), 49–57. doi:10.1177/097340821100600111 Long P. Selby D. and Starling S. (2010) More than the growth fire mate? Intending plinarity and question hilling in Starling S. Longs P. and Selby D. (2010) System hilling in the growth of the control of					
	Jones, P., Selby, D. and Sterling, S. (2010) More than the sum of their parts? Interdisciplinarity and sustainability, in Sterling, S, Jones, P and Selby, D (2010) Sustainability Education: perspectives and practice across higher education, Earthscan, London.					
(D)	Sustainability is a complex and contested paradigm and open to many interpretations. To date, the development of the concepts related to sustainability and the range of terms used has led to					
Motivation/Puzzle	differences in understanding in educational circles. In higher education institutions there is documented confusion about the terms sustainable development and this confusion resulted in a lack of					
Monvauon/1 uzzie						
	consistency in the use of the terms. Lecturers struggle to understand the conceptual range of the term sustainability, and usually they focus first on environmental dimensions and miss the integration of social and economic aspects. Resulted in many sustainability initiatives but most of it is a weak sustainability learning. Despite two decades of good intentions in Malaysia, no coherent "sustainability curriculum" appears to exist and most of the HEI sustainability initiatives are 'bolted-on' without any real curriculum reform or integration. Hence there is a need for practical tools in					
	enacting Education for Sustainability (EfS). Accordingly, this study will address the worldview of sustainability, the system structure supporting EfS in UKM and the pedagogical approaches for EfS in higher education.					
THREE	Three core aspects of any empirical research project i.e. the "IDioTs" guide					
(E) Idea?	To understand the complexity and all-encompassing natu					
	interconnected set of elements that is organised in a way					
	and thinking about the world's economic, organisational,					
	Model (VSM) (Beer, 1984) as theoretical foundation bec					
	higher order plans. I can reflect on UKM viability by ma					
	distinctions provided by the VSM, I can first map the str		hat related to sustainabil	ity, and then assess the system's vi	ability in terms of how it manages the	
(E) D. 4. 9	variety of its interactions with its changing environment.					
(F) Data?	Setting: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Data sources: Semi-structured interviews with lecturers,	focus group interviews with students, obser	zationa durina austoinahi	lity related alasses, systemability re	alated course learning outlines	
	Malaysia Education Blueprint 2015-2025, UKM Sustaina			my related classes, sustamability re	erated course learning outlines,	
	Trustworthiness of the data:	ability Waster Flair and CICW 2000 2020 Str	uegie i iun.			
	-Triangulation of the data using multiple sources of data:	I will compare and cross-check the consister	ncy of information derive	ed from the document analysis and	observations with the semi-structured	
	interviews and focus group interviews	1 will compare and cross eneck are consisted	iej or imormation derive	ou from the document unaryons und	occurrations with the semi structured	
	- Member checking: the participants will check their own	interview transcript to ensure the accuracy,				
	- Audit trail: thorough collection of documentation regard					
	processes of data collection and analysis and requires that					
	providing justification of these actions. The audit trail pr					
(G) Tools?	Research design: Single holistic case study design. UKN					
	Semi-structured interview with lecturers: The question			y, system structure that drive or res	trict enactment of EfS in UKM and	
	what kind of pedagogical approaches the lecturers percei			. 11		
	Focus group interviews with students: The focus group					
	focus on conceptualisation and perception toward sustain provide better opportunities to learn sustainability.	naomy, system structure that drive or restrict	enacument of EIS in UK	and what kind of pedagogical ap	oproaches the students perceive	
	Observations: structured - employing an observation scl	hedule that consists of forms prepared prior to	the data collection out	lining the behaviour and situational	features to be observed and recorded	
	The observation form: is based on a matrix regarding training trai					
	implemented, role of the lecturer, role of the student and		, ,, in menude severar effe	one og, during the resson. content	corolea, types of activities	
	Document analyses: Malaysia Education Blueprint 2015		UKM 2000-2020 Strate	gic Plan provided background info	rmation/historical insight -	
	understanding the historical roots of sustainability initiati					
			r priemo			

	Field notes: descriptive recording of observations and reflexive process of interpretation.			
	Data analysis: i) familiarizing with the data, ii) generating initial codes and assigning codes (using Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis Software, Atlas.ti), iii) mapping out data pattern(s),			
	iv) theme identification, vi) interpretation of themes.			
TWO	Two key questions			
(H) What's New?	Recent relevant EfS research focuses on different separate elements but ignores systematically combining all elements to create the whole "picture" of EfS in higher education. This study will focus			
	on the dynamics through which the gestalt and its units interact instead of focusing on a specific role, structure or unit. UKM will be conceptualised as dynamic systems which are in continuous			
	interaction with UKM's external environment (i.e., socio-cultural, religious influence, Malaysia national agenda, globalisation and current sustainability crisis) and with its units (i.e., campus operation, curriculum and pedagogy, research activity and management). The homeostasis concept (analogous to human body natural mechanism of maintaining a constant internal environment			
	response to environment changes), will be applied in analysing the enactment of EfS in UKM. How UKM maintains/sustains its' roles as higher education institution and its' mission to lead the			
	development of a learned, dynamic and moral society during the age of sustainability crisis pressure. How UKM responds to this sustainability pressure and what kind of feedback mechanism			
	implemented.			
(I) So What?	By revisiting the work of Stafford Beer in organisational cybernetics, indicate to relevant researchers and practitioners, how such a synthesis will benefit in the understanding and re-design of social			
	structures and institutions, in forms that are better prepared to foster sustainability.			
ONE	One bottom line			
(J) Contribution?	Provide insight into the connection between globalisation effect to EfS enactment and localising the approach with local context. The finding will inform the understanding on how university respond			
	to change & manage its' interaction to change. Provide insight into learning and teaching required for transformative sustainability learning. Provide insight into the dissonances of sustainability			
	worldview held by the stakeholders in university			
(K) Other	Target journal: International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, Journal of Education for Sustainable Development and Qualitative Research Journal.			
Considerations	Ethical clearance: UQ Ethics Committee, Malaysia Economic Planning Unit and Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.			
	Ethical considerations: i) participant access, ii) insider status, iii) language, iv) power disparity and v) participant protection.			