Internet Appendix A198: Environmental Finance Illustrative Pitch Template Example

Pitcher's Name	Ashley Ding	FoR Category	Environmental Finance	Date Completed	08/06/2017
(A) Working Title	Volatility Behavior of Fossil Fuels and Clean Energy around the UN COP Meetings				
(B) Basic Research Question	What would be the impact of scheduled climate conferences on market uncertainty?				
(C) Key Papers	Donders, M. W. & Vorst, T. C. (1996), 'The impact of firm specific news on implied volatilities', Journal of Banking &				
	Finance 20(9), 1447-1461.				
	Isakov, D. & Perignon, C. (2001), 'Evolution of market uncertainty around earnings announcements', Journal of Banking				
	& Finance 25(9), 1769-1788.				
(D) Motivation/Puzzle	The UN COP meetings have been serving as a platform for continuous policy negotiations to address climate change. From				
	the Kyoto Protocol to the Paris Agreement, the outcomes of the COP meetings have great implications for investments in				
	fossil fuels and clean energy that a wholesale shift under the 2C climate deal to a low-carbon and climate-resilient				
	economy is underway. However, given the uncertainty in the stringency and timing of climate policies and the difficulty in				
	tultilling the agreements, the demand for fossil fuels will not fall off a cliff all of a sudden. Hence, when faced with				
	uncertainty that could be discretised into future plans of climate actions, one might ask (1) whether investors regard the				
	COP meetings as an important source of information when valuing fossil fuels and clean energy; (2) whether the COP				
	meetings have an impact on volatility dynamics as observed in the fossil fuel market and the clean energy market; (3) how				
	quickly and efficiently the outcomes of the COP meetings are incorporated into financial market prices; (4) whether the				
	lossil luel market af	id the clean energy	market react differently to t	ne COP meetings; (5) w	nether the release of the
THDEE	Three core aspects of	any ampirical resour	sch project i a the "DioTe" a	uida	
THKEE (F) Ideag?	The COP meetings of	any empirical resear	clipioject i.e., the individual	ulue	f the meeting Hence this
(E) Ideas:	study treats the releases of the outcomes as scheduled information in the same that market knows sheed of time that the				
	outcomes are upcoming after the meeting but not the information content of these decisions. Previous research shows that				
	implied volatility ten	ls to drift upwards b	efore the release date and falls	afterwards as the uncerta	inty is resolved (e.g. Patel
	& Wolfson 1979 D	onders & Vorst 19	96. Isakov & Perignon 2001) This study thus form	ulates two main working
	hypotheses:		2001). The study that form	indes the main working
	H1: The implied vola	tilitv tends to increas	se before the release of the out	comes of the COP meetin	25.
	H2: The implied vola	tility tends to decrea	se after the dissemination of th	e outcomes of the COP n	ieetings.
(F) Data?	Derived from the Arr	ow (1964)-Debreu (1959) model, the state-preferen	nce volatility indexes (FV	(X) for the fossil fuels and
``	clean energy markets	are applied to meas	ure market uncertainty. The F	VX is constructed with th	ne ATM implied volatility
	inputs from the fuel t	futures and clean end	ergy ETF options with data ol	tained from Bloomberg.	This study also identifies
	11 COP meeting over	the sample period a	nd identifies the event day acc	ordingly.	-
(G) Tools?	This study compares	the state-preference	volatilities of fossil fuels and	l clean energy during the	e periods around the COP
	meetings to the volat	ilities during normal	periods and investigates whet	her these differences are	significant by following a

	similar approach and regression model in Donders & Vorst (1996).
	Research Methodology: Event study approach.
	Regression analysis and data visualisation: SAS.
TWO	Two key questions
(H) What's new?	Unlike previous studies that use the implied standard deviation (Latane & Rendleman 1976; Donders & Vorst 1996; Ederington & Lee 1996; Isakov & Perignon 2001) or volatility indexes constructed with the VIX methodology (Nikkinen & Sahlstrom 2004a,b; Vahamaa & Aijo 2011), this study uses the state-preference volatility indexes to measure market uncertainty because the FVX proves to be an unbiased measure of market expectation of future volatility and possesses a relatively stronger information content in predicting the future realised volatility in the fossil fuel market as well as the clean energy market. A common feature of the previous studies is that they have a specific focus on the impact of the scheduled releases of financial information on market uncertainty (e.g. Donders & Vorst 1996; Ederington & Lee 1996; Isakov & Perignon 2001). This study departs from this feature by exploring the volatility patterns observed in financial markets around the COP meetings, which releases non-financial information regarding the valuation of fossil fuels and clean energy. This study also contributes to the existing literature by extending the analysis on the impact of scheduled information on
(T) C = h = 49	market volatility to the energy markets, which play a vital role in the global economy.
(1) So what?	onderstanding now the outcomes of the COP meetings relate to systematic volatility behaviour of fossil fuels and clean energy is important. First, predictable volatility patterns can help explain whether these markets perceive the non-financial information released from an international policy-making body with an aim to address climate change. Second, documented predictable patterns can shed light on how market participant process information in these markets and support the proposition that climate policies affect the market prices of fossil fuels and clean energy. Third, the speed of the drop in the volatility level after the disclosure of the outcomes of the COP meetings can help understand the relevance of climate policy decisions on security valuation.
ONE	One bottom line
(J) Contribution?	The results reveal that market participants regard the information content of the COP meetings to be important for the valuation of fossil fuels and clean energy. Understanding the signals market participants send allows policy makers to better interpret the market and align interests of various parties to address climate change.
(K) Other Considerations	It is still a working paper at this stage which possibly requires additional tests and further amendment.

Pitch Template from Faff, Robert W., Pitching Research® (April 22, 2017). Available at SSRN: <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=2462059</u> or <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2462059</u>.